Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9128 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/08/10 21:21:48 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 12

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07) EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS	DEFEND	DEFENDANTS		
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORN	EYS (If Known)		
PARTY (Check One Box Only) □ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Creditor □ Other □ Trustee	PARTY (C Debtor Creditor Trustee	Check One Box Only) □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Other		
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED) NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property 11-Recovery of money/property - \$542 turnover of property 12-Recovery of money/property - \$547 preference 13-Recovery of money/property - \$548 fraudulent transfer 14-Recovery of money/property - other	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) 61-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(5), domestic support 68-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury 63-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(8), student loan 64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation			
FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	(other than domestic support) 65-Dischargeability - other			
FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property ☐ 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay 72-Injunctive relief – other			
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)		Subordination of Claim or Interest dination of claim or interest		
FRBP 7001(5) − Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation		Declaratory Judgment ratory judgment		
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability ☐ 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims ☐ 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud ☐ 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny (continued next column)	Other SS-SIPA	D) Determination of Removed Action mination of removed claim or cause Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq. (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court		
i i		elated to bankruptcy case)		
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law ☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$	this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23		
Other Relief Sought				

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9128 Filed 11/08/10 Entered 11/08/10 21:21:48 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 12

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES				
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.		
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE	
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)				
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.	
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	\G	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)				
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE	Y (OR PLAINTIFF)	

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and **Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100

Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700
Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083 Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 783-8300 Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)	
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,) Chapter 11	
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)	
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST))) Adv. Pro. No. 10)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.))	
WONDERS INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHENZHEN)CO, LTD)))	
Defendant	,	

THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT FOR (1) BREACH OF CONTRACT (2) UNJUST ENRICHMENT (3) TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542, AND (4) ACCOUNT STATED

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc.

Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"), for his complaint (the "Complaint") against Wonders Industrial Development (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendant to recover amounts due and owing from Defendant to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties selling and purchasing computer related products. Circuit City seeks recovery of sums for breach of contract and related legal and equitable theories including the turnover of property of Circuit City's bankruptcy estate.

THE PARTIES

- 2. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.
- 3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively "Circuit City" or the "Debtors")¹ were corporations

(cont'd)

¹ The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard,

that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.

4. Upon information and belief, defendant Wonders Industrial Development (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of China with its principal place of business in Guang Dong, China. Upon information and belief, Defendant solicits, manufacturers, and sells product in the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
 - 6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
- 7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

9. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

⁽cont'd from previous page)

Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

- 10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee").
- 11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors' retail locations (the "Stores") pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors' stores were completed.
- 12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and Creditors Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.
 - 14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the "Effective Date").

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City And Defendant

- 15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.
- 16. On August 16, 2007, Circuit City and Defendant entered into a master dealer agreement (the "Dealer Agreement").

- 17. Upon information and belief, the Dealer Agreement was extended by various addenda and amendments, including but not limited to the Product Addendum dated August 20, 2008, which governed the parties dealings up to and through the Petition Date.
- 18. Over the course of the parties' business relationship, Circuit City and Defendant entered into numerous side agreements, addenda and letter agreements (together with the Dealer Agreement and Product Addendum, the "Defendant's Agreements").
- 19. Pursuant to the parties dealings, Circuit City placed purchase orders and thereafter purchased consumer electronic goods from the Defendant for resale by Circuit City through its retail channels. Defendant would be paid pursuant to an established letter of credit. Included within the Defendant's Agreements, were provisons for the return of goods to the Defendant with subsequent credit to Circuit City. In the event of a debit balance, Defendant was to promptly return payment of any such amounts to Circuit City.
- 20. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date under the Defendant's Agreements.
- 21. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the "Books and Records").

C. Amounts Owed To Circuit City By Defendant

22. As of the date hereof, on account of the pre- and post-petition business conducted between Defendant and Circuit City pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements or otherwise, Defendant is indebted to Circuit City on account of the following unpaid obligations (the "Unpaid Obligations") in at least the following amounts:

Chargebacks, Returns and Deductions \$246,875.15 **TOTAL** \$246,875.15 23. The Unpaid Obligations are due, owing, and payable by Defendant to Circuit City. Plaintiff has made demand for such unpaid balance from the Defendant and which balance remains unpaid to date.

COUNT I

BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 24. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. Pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, Defendant is obligated to pay to the Debtors the Unpaid Obligations.
- 26. The Defendant's Agreements are valid and enforceable agreements against Defendant.
- 27. The Debtors performed their obligations under the Defendant's Agreements with respect to the Unpaid Obligations.
- 28. Defendant's failure to compensate the Debtors for the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$246,875.15 constitutes a material breach of Defendant's obligations under the Defendant's Agreements.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches, the Debtors incurred damages in an amount not less than \$246,875.15.
- 30. Accordingly, the Trustee is entitled to a judgment against Defendant in an amount not less than \$246,875.15, and interest thereon.

COUNT II

UNJUST ENRICHMENT/QUASI CONTRACT

31. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

- 32. In the alternative to Count I, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count I.
- 33. The Debtors conferred a benefit upon Defendant pursuant to the Defendant Agreements, by Plaintiff paying for product which was not received, subsequently returned and/or a credit was owing.
 - 34. Defendant knowingly accepted the benefit conferred by the Debtors.
- 35. The Debtors reasonably expected to be compensated by Defendant in an amount not less than \$246,875.15 on account of the benefit conferred upon Defendant.
- 36. Defendant's receipt of benefit without just compensation to the Debtors has unjustly enriched Defendant in an amount not less than \$246,875.15.
- 37. The Trustee has no adequate remedy at law to recover the Unpaid Obligations.
- 38. Accordingly, as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment at the Debtors' expense, the Trustee is entitled to restitution from the Defendant in an amount not less than \$246,875.15.

COUNT III

TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542

- 39. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. In the alternative to Counts I and II, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count III.
- 41. Defendant is in possession, custody, and control of the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$246,875.15.

- 42. Defendant is not a custodian for the Unpaid Obligations.
- 43. The Unpaid Obligations constitute valid and existing debts, due and owing by Defendant to the Debtors.
- 44. The Unpaid Obligations are property of the Debtors' estates under section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and constitute debts that are matured, payable on demand, or payable on order.
- 45. Despite being requested to do so, Defendant has not turned over or paid to Circuit City the Unpaid Obligations.
- 46. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 542, Defendant should be compelled to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$246,875.15.

COUNT IV

ACCOUNT STATED

- 47. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. One or more of the Debtors rendered statements to the Defendant in the total amount of \$246,875.15.
 - 49. Defendant has failed to pay the outstanding amount of \$246,875.15.
- 50. An account has been stated between the Debtor(s) which rendered the invoices and the Defendant whereby Defendant is indebted to such Debtor(s) in the total amount of \$246,875.15.
- 51. Despite demand therefore, said sums remain unpaid, and the Trustee is entitled to judgment against Defendant in the amount of \$246,875.15, plus interest.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

- i. Pursuant to Count I, enter judgment against Defendant for breach of contract and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$246,875.15; and
- ii. In the alternative to Count I, pursuant to Count II, enter judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment and award Circuit City restitution damages on account of Defendant's unjust enrichment in an amount not less than \$246,875.15; and
- iii. In the alternative to Counts I and II, pursuant to Count III, order Defendant to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$246,875.15; and
- iv. In the alternative to Counts I, II and III, pursuant to Count VI, enter judgment against Defendant for an account stated and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$246,875.15; and
- v. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate;
- vi. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein including interest; and
- vii. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia November 8, 2010 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner_

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679) 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 (310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor New York, New York 10017 (212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee